

A HIGH PRECISION IRRADIATION SITE FOR SILICON DETECTORS AT BONN UNIVERSITY

<u>P. Wolf^{1*}</u>, R. Beck², J. Dingfelder¹, D. Sauerland², N. Wermes¹ DPG Frühjahrstagung 2023, Dresden, T96: TestBeam, RadHard for Si and Pixel

> ¹Physikalisches Institut (PI) ²Helmholtz Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik (HISKP)

*wolf@physik.uni-bonn.de





MOTIVATION

- Estimated radiation levels for ATLAS ITK after 3000 fb⁻¹ scaled to 1-MeV neutron equivalent fluence n_{eq}:
 - → Pixels @ 4 cm \approx 2 x 10¹⁶ n_{eq}/cm²
- Si-sensors suffer from radiation damage:
 - + Leakage current (+ Noise, + Power)
 - Sensitive volume (- Signal)
 - + Trapping (- Signal)
- Radiation damage studies needed to probe requirements
 - NIEL scaling allows usage of accelerators
 - * End-of-Life damage can be induced within O(hours)







- Setup
- Diagnostics
- Irradiation Procedure
- Recent Campaigns
- Conclusion





IRRADIATION SITE

Calibrated, online beam monitor 19" rack w/ interfaces to setup and R/O • Interface DUT, lab devices Beam parameter meas. provide custom signals at extraction Connection to DAQ Crucial for fluence measurement Beam Insulated DUT box on 2D motorstage Faraday cup (FC) with screen on motorstage Houses DUT @ < -20 °C Destructive beam current 19x11 cm² max. DUT size measurem. at DUT position • Visual inspection of beam Interface for powering, R/O during irradiation Calibrating beam monitor



BEAM DIAGNOSTICS





Lemo outputs



Beam monitor

- Based on secondary electron emission (SEE)
- Two pairs of 5 μm Al-foils, horizontally & vertically segmented
- Beam penetration causes signal I roll beam
 - Calibration allows online beam meas.
- Isolated aperture allows direct beam cut-off measurements

Faraday cup (FC)

- Beam current I_{beam} measurement by dumping into graphite cone
- Directly obtain current I_{FC} = I_{beam} with low uncertainty
 - $\ \ \Delta I_{FC}/I_{FC} \leq 1\%$

R/O board

- Analog R/O of beam monitor & FC
- Linear mapping of input current I
 - $\bullet \quad 0 I_{FS} \rightarrow 0 5V$
- Multiple, switchable scales I_{FS}
- Used to digitize signals



BEAM DIAGNOSTICS





- , $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{beam}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{FS}},\mathrm{U}_{\Sigma}
 ight)=\lambda\cdot\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{FS}}\cdot\mathrm{U}_{\Sigma}$
- Uncertainty consideration:



• Allows online beam current measurement during irradiation



- Align beam using fluorescence screen
- Construct scan area in relative coordinate system
- Irradiate DUT by scanning area in equidistantly spaced (Δy) rows with velocity v

Row 0

Row 1

Row 2

Row n

- Beam current measured continuously with 10 -100 Hz at all times
- Beam condition checked at end of row, wait if needed
- DUTs mounted behind custom shielding @ < -20 °C, temp monitoring via NTCs in box

IRRADIATION --SCANNING PROCEDURE--





IRRADIATION --DOSIMETRY--

1e13

3.0

2.5

2.0 2.0 1.5 1.5 1.0

0.5

0.0 0 2

- Dosimetry via foil activation (typically used):
 - Irradiation of (thin) metallic foil, e.g Ni/Ti (depending on energy), alongside DUT
 - Measure activation of isotope X; production cross section, foil weight, etc.
 - Fluence is scalar via $\phi_{\mathbf{p}} \left[\mathbf{p} \stackrel{\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{X}}}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{X} \right] \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{X}}, \ \Omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{X}}, \ \lambda^{\mathbf{X}}, \ \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{mol}}^{\mathbf{X}}, \ \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{foil}}^{\mathbf{X}}$
 - **Offline analysis** *→*
- Dosimetry via beam current (done in Bonn):
 - Fluence determination via:



- Distribution per row (1 dim) via →
- Online analysis \rightarrow feedback during/after irradiation \rightarrow
- Fluence from irradiation data analysis:
 - Fluence map over scan area and DUT (2 dim)
 - **Offline analysis** \rightarrow





IRRADIATION --DOSIMETRY: METHOD COMPARISON--

- 6 x Ti-foils irradiated across 1 order of proton fluence magnitude
- Results are in good agreement
 - Beam-based methods yield lower, relative uncertainty consistently
 - → Rel. Uncertainty M3 \approx 15%, M1/2 \approx 2%
 - Uncertainty for M1/2 methods includes variation across fluence distribution!
- Irradiations carried out using different parameters
- Beam-based dosimetry verified to be in agreement with typically-used foil method



Irradiations with (13.538±0.054) MeV protons

Proton fluence determination using different methods



IRRADIATION --IMPROVING UNCERTAINTY/HOMOGENEITY--

- Pre-irradiation:
 - On-the-fly calibration before / after irradiation to maximize calibration precision



- Irradiation:
 - Beam-driven scan procedure; beam parameters checked for predefined requirements each row
- Post-irradiation:
 - Correction of fluence distribution by scanning individual rows
 → Especially useful for low-fluence scans
- One scan irradiation: Beam failure scanning row 5, corrected after scan





IRRADIATION --DAQ, VISUALIZATION & CONTROL--

- Python-based, open-source software *irrad_control* feat. a GUI for online data visualization, analysis & hardware control
- Conducts beam-driven irradiation routine allowing manual interaction (e.g. pausing for in-between measurements) → flexible campaigns
- Generates extensive irradiation datasets:
 - Timestamped data of damage, beam, setup, etc., available to user
- Offers basic analysis functionalities to generate e.g. fluence / uncertainty distributions off datasets
- Generic design, suitable for porting to other sites
- GitHub:https://github.com/cyclotron-bonn/irrad_control



Online data visualization on PC at control room



SEM_C Baw Beam

og 019-03-12 16:39:31,446 - INFO - Fluence row 9: (3.06E+12 +- 2.03) +11) protons / cm ^2 019-03-12 16:39:32,851 - INFO - INFO 019-03-12 16:39:32,851 - INFO - INFO 019-03-12 16:39:32 04: INFO - Fluence row 10: (3.06E+12 +- 1.4)

Online fluence per row monitor of irrad_control

0.012

0.01

Left / V

0.011

0.012



IRRADIATION --RECENT CAMPAIGNS--

- Irradiation of 2 ItkPixV1 assemblies from CERN in May 2022
 - [•] 2x2 cm², 1e16 neq / cm², largest fluence per session so far
 - Irradiations each completed within 1 day (6h, 9h)
 - Variation of fluence distr. over DUT < 1%
- Irradiation of ATLASPixV3.1 from Heidelberg in July 2022
 - [>] 2x2 cm², ~ 2e14 neq / cm², chip powered & IV scan during irradiation
 - Very low fluence per scan, beam currents 20 50 nA
 - IV sweeps after completed scans & during beam hitting chip!



ITkPixV1 on SCC with mounting brackets





Left: ATLASPixV3.1 on SCC with mounting brackets

Right: ATLASPixV3.1 in cooling box behind shield, chip powered & HV





CONCLUSION & OUTLOOK

- The proton irradiation site in Bonn allows for optimized proton fluence homogeneity & uncertainty irradiations
- Custom beam monitoring & dosimetry enable beam-driven irradiation procedure with $\frac{\Delta \phi_{\rm p}}{\phi_{\rm p}} \leq 2\%$
- irrad_control software allows flexible campaigns and produces extensive datasets for analysis
- Proton ardness factor $\kappa_{p} \approx 4$ enabling irradiation of 1e16 n_{eq}/cm^2 within 2 h (shown for ITkPix)
- Outlook:
 - Currently Uni Bonn is working on giving general access to external groups
 - Multiple external campaigns already conducted
 - Collaboration with other facilities (e.g. KIT, Birmingham) to realize generelazid procedures
 - Currently κ_p main source of uncertainty (Δκ_p/κ_p ≈ 20%) → Precise measurement to reduce overall uncertainty on equivalent fluence





Thank you





BACKUP





- Online beam parameter and cut-off monitoring
- Secondary electron monitor:
 - 2 <u>C-coated</u>, Al-foil pairs, <u>3 HV (+100V) foils</u>
- Beam-loss monitor (BLM) / internal cup:
 - Isolated <u>Al-apertue</u>, HV (-100V) suppressor <u>cylinder</u> / <u>aperture</u>, monitoring NTC
- Fully CST-simulated design:
 - Electric field distribution
 - Secondary electron emission and capture
 - SE capture > 99% @ +- 100V



HARDWARE -Beam Monitor-







CAD render of beam monitor by Dennis Sauerland, 2021



Installation of beam monitor in crosspiece



HARDWARE -Faraday Cup with Camera / Screen-

- Absolute beam current measurement after extraction, on-the-fly calibration / adjustment
- Mounted on 700 mm vertical motorstage
- Camera / screen for beam adjustment and profile measurement
- 30 mm entrance window, < 1e-6 mbar, monitoring NTC, suppressor ring (-100V)
- Fully CST-simulated design:
 - Electric field distribution
 - Secondary electron emission and capture
 - SE capture > 99% @ -100V





CAD render of Faraday CUp by Dennis Sauerland, 2021

Beam monitor and FC aligned



RADIATION DAMAGE --LATEST MEASUREMENTS--





Test structures in gel pad and wrapped in 10 µm Al-foil on carrier for irradiation

IV-curve for TS B after 1e15 n_/cm²

- Jun-Aug 2020: irradiation of 200 µm LFoundry test structures (TS) •
 - {1 x 1e13, 1 x 5e13, 1 x 1e14, 4 x 5e14, 4 x 1e15} n_{en}/cm²
- Std. annealing for 80 min @ 60 °C, IV meas. in fridge .
- Full depletion voltage for leakage measurement taken from † .



- In agreement with expectations but large uncertainty ۰
- As of mid 2021: dedicated CV-measurement setup ۰ implemented in Bonn
- New irradiations to take place soon reduce uncertainty •

⁺ Charge collection properties of irradiated depleted CMOS pixel test structures, I. Mandić



RADIATION DAMAGE --PROTON HARDNESS FACTOR--



† Charge collection properties of irradiated depleted CMOS pixel test structures, I. Mandić



RADIATION DAMAGE

- 39th RD50 workshop, Valencia Nov 17 2021
- V. Suberts talk: Non-Ionizing Energy Loss: Geant4 simulations towards more advanced NIEL concept for radiation damage modelling and prediction
- Agrees with what we expect and measure
 - 12.5 MeV protons $\rightarrow \kappa_{p} = 4.04$
- Thanks to Vendula Subert who provided me with her simulation data on short notice!





IRRADIATION



Setup in irradiation position. Liquid nitrogen dewar used to cool down nitrogen gas for cool, dry atmosphere inside box during iradiation



Assembly on SCC



Bare sensors, wrapped in Al-foil



Sensor on surfboad



PiN-diodes on Kapton tape

Variety of different DUTs, all mounted on custommade holder for installation inside box (behind shield if needed)



FLUENCE DETERMINATION --(TITANIUM) FOIL METHOD--

• Use different irradiation parameters to show methods are independent:

$\rm Fluence_{aim}$ / p/cm ²		7e13	1e14	3e14	5e14	8e14	1e15
Fluence _{meas} / 10^{14} p/cm ²	M1(Formula) M2(Data) M3(Ti-Foil)		$0.93 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.93 \pm 0.05 \\ 1.03 \pm 0.13$	2.98 ± 0.04 2.97 ± 0.07 3.20 ± 0.41	4.93 ± 0.06 4.93 ± 0.10 4.99 ± 0.64	8.18 ± 0.25 8.06 ± 0.11 7.99 ± 1.03	9.51 ± 0.38 9.45 ± 0.12 9.46 ± 1.22
Scan speed $v_x\ /\ mms^{-1}$		90	65	60	70	50	40
Row separation Δy / mm		1.25	0.75	1.50	1.00	0.50	0.25
Mean scan current $I_{\rm scan}$ / nA		368 ± 65	807 ± 164	661 ± 106	757 ± 123	759 ± 278	950 ± 202
Number of rows		24	40	20	30	60	120
Number of scans		34	9	65	73	43	16
Scan area A / $\rm mm^2$		60.00×28.75	60.00×29.25	60.00×28.5	60.00×29.00	60.00×29.50	60.00×29.75
Calibration constant $\lambda/$ ${\rm V}^{-1}$		0.897 ± 0.009	0.906 ± 0.009	0.897 ± 0.009	0.906 ± 0.009	0.906 ± 0.009	0.897 ± 0.009
Date		27/09/2022	24/08/2022	27/09/2022	17/08/2022	24/8/2022	28/09/2022
		1					